## Case 1:10-cv-01145-KMW Document 168 Filed 02/18/15 Page 1 of 8

E1ETPENC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 -----x 3 IN RE: PENTHOUSE EXECUTIVE CLUB 10 CV 1145 (KMW) 4 COMPENSATION LITIGATION 5 New York, N.Y. 6 January 14, 2014 10:00 a.m. 7 Before: 8 HON. KIMBA M. WOOD, 9 District Judge 10 APPEARANCES 11 OUTTEN & GOLDEN Attorneys for Plaintiffs 12 BY: JUSTIN M. SWARTZ 13 VIRGINIA & AMBINDER 14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: LADONNA LUSHER 15 URBAN JUSTICE CENTER Attorneys for Plaintiffs 16 BY: SIENNA BASKIN 17 LEEDS BROWN 18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: MICHAEL TOMPKINS 19 MEISTER, SEELIG & FEIN 20 Attorneys for Defendants BY: HOWARD DAVIS 21 JEFFREY KIMMEL 22 23 24 25

25

1 (In open court, case called) 2 DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your appearances. 3 MR. SWARTZ: Good morning, your Honor, Justin Swartz 4 for the plaintiffs. MS. LUSHER: LaDonna Lusher for the plaintiffs. 5 6 MR. BASKIN: Good morning your Honor, Sienna Baskin, 7 Urban Justice Center for the plaintiffs. 8 MR. TOMPKINS: Michael Tompkins from Leeds Brown for 9 plaintiffs. 10 MR. KIMMEL: Jeffrey Kimmel for defendants. 11 MR. DAVIS: Howard Davis from Meister, Sellig & Fein 12 also on behalf of defendants. 13 THE COURT: Good morning, we are here for a fairness 14 hearing with respect to the settlement of the case captioned In 15 Re Penthouse Executive Club Compensation Litigation. 16 Is there anyone in the courtroom who is a part of the 17 class or who is an opt out of the class? 18 Are the two spectators lawyers? 19 MR. SCHIRRIPA: Yes, your Honor, Frank Schirripa from 20 Hach, Rose, Schirripa & Cheverie. I represent twelve opt out 21 plaintiffs in a separate action that will before the Court 22 later this week. 23 THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard at all? 24 I don't believe so. MR. SCHIRRIPA:

THE COURT: And is there anyone else in the courtroom

1 | inv

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

involved in this case?

MR. SCHIRRIPA: This is my client, Ms. Liwanag. She was one of the original named plaintiffs in this action.

THE COURT: I recall. Good morning, Ms. Liwanag.

MS. LIWANAG: Good morning.

THE COURT: I asked everyone in the spectator section if any of you wish to be heard with respect to the fairness hearing that I'm having in this case today, and your lawyer has said so far no. Is that your view?

MS. LIWANAG: Yes.

THE COURT: On the record?

MS. LIWANAG: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you not wish to be heard? No?

MS. LIWANAG: No.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. I have reviewed all of the papers in the case, and although the proportion of class members making the claim seems small to me, I note it is not small when compared to other similar cases.

I also note that although the amount claimed by counsel is far more than the amount the claimants will receive, it is only approximately 1.13 times their hourly rates, which falls within the range granted by courts and is reasonable, particularly in light of all the time you spent litigating the case and in dealing with various mediators.

I'm curious, how did you happen to work with more than one mediator?

MR. SWARTZ: Good morning, your Honor, we simply went to a mediation, we agreed on a mediator at JAMS, David Geronemus, who is a well-respected mediator. And I think it was a productive session and he did a good job, but we just didn't settle, and we went back to litigation. We took a couple more depositions and battle it out a little longer, and then right before summary judgment briefing was about to start we decided to give it one more try with a different mediator, and after two sessions with him we were able to resolve the case.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any information about why the number of claims is as small as it is?

MR. SWARTZ: Just speculation, your Honor. A couple things, first of all, it's not the easiest population to reach. I think that between the things that the parties negotiated, the things that — the extra things that the Court ordered us to do and some of the things that class counsel asked for permission to do, at the end of the claims filing period I think we got as many as we could.

As the Court said, it's not a high percentage, but it is within the typical range. I wish it would have been higher, but I can't say that I'm not satisfied. I think we did everything we could have.

THE COURT: All right. Would any of you like to be heard orally at this point?

I have read your submissions, but if you wish to say anything in addition, I would be glad to hear you.

MR. SWARTZ: Your Honor, I do have two housekeeping points. And I apologize, I just raised them with opposing counsel this morning for the first time.

There were three claim forms that the claims administrator noted were deficient. The claims administrator sent letters to those three claimants asking them to cure their deficiencies in their claim forms, and they haven't heard back yet.

What I would like to ask the Court, and I apologize to opposing counsel, I asked him for the first time this morning, so he hasn't had a chance to talk to his client, so if those claims come back cured within the 30-day period before the effective date, that they be accepted.

Second, there were two people who contacted us in the last couple of days, who, for whatever reason, just became aware of the case. They have indicated their intention to at least consider filing a claim, and I would ask for the same relief, that if they file a claim within the next 30 days that their claims will be accepted. Defendant has not had a chance -- counsel has not had a chance to talk who his client about it yet.

THE COURT: I have trouble imagining what the objection would be other than wanting to check any facts that you need to check, but I think those are being checked by the claims administrator. I will approve your accepting the claims you have just mentioned unless within five days I hear from defense counsel that they have an objection as to which they wish to be heard, in which case, we'll contact you and find a mutually convenient date.

Anything else?

MR. SWARTZ: No, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. If there are other claims that come in that can reasonably be checked within the 30-day period, I would be inclined to accept them, so if you wish to just have a telephone conference with regard to that, that's fine, of course including defense counsel.

All right. If there's nothing further, let me note a few changes that I made in the proposed order.

Page 1 had a typo, the words "plaintiffs alleged that" in the middle of the page is stated twice. I simply exercised where it's stated twice.

On page about 3 where the date reads October 17, I changed it to January 14, 2014.

Just grammar point on page 4, paragraph 4, line 2, before the word "plaintiffs" I added "the claims of," and in the next line instead of "them," I added the words "claims

1 members."

On page 9 toward the end of the page I have changed the last two sentences as follows, the first of those reads:

No class member objected to the settlement. And the next reads: Only 22 class members asked to be excluded from the settlement.

Should I change the numbers -- should I hold off on the order until I know the number of claim payments?

MR. SWARTZ: Your Honor, respectfully I suggest that we -- if people do cure their claims or file claims that we simply let you know about it and your Honor can issue a separate short form order.

THE COURT: That's fine.

Page 29, again just a syntax point -- sorry, page 13, paragraph 29, in this case the settlement was the result of -- I added in the word "vigorous," then "arm's length negotiation," striking "involving vigorous back and forth."

The last line I have changed October 17 to January 14, 2014.

Again, just syntax, page 17, paragraph 46, line 2, after the words "approximately 1.13 times," I added "their hourly rates."

And at the end -- page 21, at the end of the paragraph 57, I have indicated that the motions in docket numbers 139, 141 and 147 are granted.

E1ETPENC

This is for the purposes of the Court clerk who would otherwise have trouble following this. The clerk of court is directed to close this case. Any other pending motions are moot.

And with those changes, assuming you have no problem with them, I will sign the order.

Anything further?

MR. SWARTZ: Not from the plaintiffs, your Honor.

MR. KIMMEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you very much for all your work in the case. I wish you good look in your other cases.

MR. SWARTZ: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: This will get posted.